

Examiners' Report
Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2022

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level In History(WHIO2) Paper 1C

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2022
Publications Code WHI02_1C_2206
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2022

Examiner Report: WHIO2 1C Russia, 1917-91: From Lenin to Yeltsin

Introduction

It was pleasing after the disruption of the pandemic to see a range of well-informed and well-written responses from candidates on IAS Paper WHI02 1C which covers the Russia, 1917-91: From Lenin to Yeltsin. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts - cause, consequence, change/ continuity, similarity/difference and significance.

In common with previous series, candidates found Section A more challenging than Section B. Some candidates were still not clear on what was meant by 'value' and 'weight' in the context of source analysis and evaluation. Performance in Section A was also affected by the absence of the detailed knowledge base required to add contextual material to support/challenge points derived from the sources. There was a noticeable trend this summer for some candidates to use the structure of the generic mark scheme to write their answer. Whilst this meant that they addressed all three bullet points, it did mean that they did not integrate the ideas in their answer so that, in particular, knowledge was free standing and not used to develop and explain inferences. This technique would be best avoided for candidates who wish to access the higher levels of the mark scheme. Most candidates did use their time effectively and, although a few responses were quite brief, there was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions both sections. The ability range was diverse, but the design of the paper allowed all abilities to be catered for. Furthermore, in Section B, most responses had an analytical focus and there were very fewer that were wholly descriptive essays which were devoid of analysis and, for the most part, responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was the lack of a sharp focus on the precise terms of the question and/or the second order concept that was targeted. This meant that some candidates wrote at length on topics that were only peripherally related to the question or which did not cover the whole time period.

It remains important to realise that Section A topics are drawn from highlighted topics on the specification whereas Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important. There was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B.

The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

Question 1a)

Most candidates understood the question and were able to comprehend the source and comment on what it revealed about the establishment of government under Lenin in 1917. There were some well-focused responses that drew out inferences about Marxist ideology, the authority of Lenin and the temporary nature of the government. The best answers developed the inferences with well-selected context to establish their validity. Candidates would do well to remember that contextual

knowledge does need to be used to explain and develop the inferences drawn from the source and not just to provide free-standing knowledge. Some candidates provided lengthy passages about the Tsarist system and the October Revolution without deploying their knowledge to the source content and inferences and therefore could not achieve above level 2 on bullet point 2. In other cases, candidates did not use any contextual knowledge at all and this did depress their achievement within the levels. Many candidates were able to use the attributes of the source effectively to develop their ideas about the value of the source from a Bolshevik decree. In this way many candidates were able to access the lower marks in level 3. Those candidates who discussed the limitations could not be rewarded for that part of their answer as it is not the focus of part a responses.

SECTION A

Answer ALL questions. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

Study Source 1 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question.

1 (a) Why is Source 1 valuable to the historian for an enquiry into the establishment of government under Lenin in 1917?

Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your own knowledge of the historical context.

(10)

o overnment Structured Second Congress oute Bolsheviki WayHed, to use for approving was announced during the Second Soviets is value SUCC establishing

3

govern The source is valuable to
a historian since it shows the
Structure and the logginings of
centralization of the ostablished
government By Star stating that "Commission
roil magmange the individual departments of
the state, the historian is able to use
this a see the structure of the new
Soviet government.
The source also states that the Congress
of Soviets will be in the m in con have
control over the activities" of the Council
of People's Commissions and that it
this valuable to the vestablishment of
its structure and function. As a representative
body the longress of Societ, a collective
has the right to change the people
within within other bodies

This is a level 3 entry response. It develops inferences about the beginnings of proletarian government and makes reference to communist ideology. Its comments on value are more assumptions and it is more level 2 than level 3 for bullet point 3 of the mark scheme.

Question 1b)

Most candidates understood the source material and were able to select from it to consider the impact of Gorbachev's policy to allow greater freedoms in the arts. Some candidates did struggle with comprehending the source and, not reading the caption carefully, attributed the article to Gorbachev himself. Many candidates were able to draw some inferences, for example, considering Glazunov's rejection of Gorbachev's policy and, in some well-crafted responses, using this inference to justify judgements about weight based on Glazunov's anti-democratic views. Candidates tended to be stronger on evaluation of the provenance than on drawing inferences and/or drawing upon contextual knowledge to develop their judgements. In this way many responses did achieve the lower marks in level 3. However, many candidates do still approach the consideration of weight by writing about adding and subtracting weight rather than considering the strengths and weaknesses of the source material and then reaching a judgement about the weight that the source would bear in an enquiry. These candidates tend to achieve level 2 for bullet point 3 of the mark scheme. One particular weakness demonstrated by a significant number of candidates in addressing this question was the complete absence of any contextual knowledge to answer the question and consequently they were not rewarded in bullet point 2 of the mark scheme. This topic has been on the paper on several occasions now. Centres may consider that examples from previous sources could be utilised to develop candidates' knowledge and for use in addressing question.

Study Source 2 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question.

(b) How much weight do you give to the evidence of Source 2 for an enquiry into the impact of Gorbachev's policy to allow greater freedoms in the arts? __oscorpose*

Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your own knowledge of the historical context.

(1.5)

1,000
- Furry, the source was worken asker the
genice of crowners and rememberian governor some
we gut to the source or in would appear the
- was deed to be the act of and some seek
- ANDERSON - STAND - SAND - SA
Send to the comment of the send of the sen
- succession of our one or one or new manufactures while
- Salve with waster the town Coloranies will with
waste to the same of the second section of the second sections
Little reservoir to the Sources set Criticologicalis
GONTAN CARMONT & TEMPORATE SOMELINES.
homeostic and was seen the 5558 more deliminations.
person to contain and and second contains
The Constant
PREPARED CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR
and the second of the second o
The the state of t
whenest south, and as me source was quin-
were and march mine of the experience
LELET TOURS OF THE COLLEGE FOLKS, IT IS
Licens were see somer, of greaden in the
a rea would be nowarmed in a reactive hear

- Distance hittle waster or the work work	***
was a Community - Tauring history and rue	
ELASSE TAR SECRETARION WAS THE MANAGEMENT	****
ALLESS THE STOCKED WIND WELL SOMETH	
mirace ne agreniment or entry Contaction	
due to this postery had asso baced agentistiss	
NA THE OF INSERTMENT AND AND SERVE STREET SO	
- RECORD SAN AS GROOM SERVED SON	
and and commented out wasser book quest	-
worns seen stating sensoned and somed	
and consider the commissions regime & reads	25
Anonte secon you was the same or you	
with we want to the owner wearing	
- Descriptions - Description	
On the other hand, are warned can be since	
whose weight objustice the turner extension	
- CONTRACTOR - NO SERVICE SHEET SHEE	444
- LOCA SAMES DIE SPONSTERN VE DERE SYSE	***

it was quiresned in Randa the wighty	
Constant gives show how determined to	i.
Lobrance of their to endo sex contours	:.5
goviers weather in the set in se	
LEOCATE STORAGE STORAGE WILLIAM STORAGE STORAG	***

6

have wedge com ne your on me wound	a an out automor
VILLET CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY	-20-022 0 00-00-00-
THE RESERVE AND PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	The Committee of the Co
THRU	SOME WENCHIN
CRASS COLORS	ASSAULT AND
commence to it	on
	2000 - 20
a la thought to be the second and	- 102 - 100 And
and the second s	- horas of horas of the same
D.A. 6 - 1. 44 - 0.45 - 0.15	
	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
	a result to solvenish
Cearisia greatured	
- ensure some	
wood to also ene a sais	
And to the top of the	
entous when in the	
The proces seeks see	
70x 0xx xxx xx xx coxx	ein midden meanaig.
Associate and audie-a	
The 1920s to was any	their extraophia re-
ده معملات محمد عصده	- South of the second of the second
	business are as a more
course the years	ever to a good to talked
wiers and symp mo	
onen when wed	

airen sinsted mercy	ent as a same work
but the year of	SWELL ON AUBBREAC
agreen winnsted weren	where may reason
COCHE SOR COSS	are are particulated in
SANGACE OF THE CONSCIENCE	01. 00.00 hours 10.00
continue authorized	
THE SEAL SHIP SEALS	
& demourant views	
/ owners	
abosenore ought theat	LACSAN CASILINIA
To concuer Lason	id wise Source Z
himited menget as	
Carronaluniet 50505	and of one
under the exect the	e possess seed son our
shouldhan water	a records commission succession
Asserburg sienson	counts and the images
the govern on one	aren breezen nost
ou some men	2000 000 000000000000000000000000000000
Latina	

This response achieved a high level 4. It demonstrates secure evaluation and uses context effectively to show an awareness of the different values and concerns of that Communist Party and the people in Russia in the late 1980s. It is very effective on assessing weight.

Question 2

This was the second most popular essay question. Some candidates produced very effective answers, considering the relative achievements of Lenin's Decree on Illiteracy, the illiteracy liquidation campaign and Trotsky's work in eradicating illiteracy in the Red Army. Candidates made use of Stalin's attempt to improve illiteracy during the Five Year plans and the work of the Zhenotdel to improve the literacy of women throughout the period. Some candidates legitimately drew upon the expansion of free primary education to discuss improving literacy in children. However, those candidates who focused on the expansion of higher education were not well focused on successes and limitations in tackling illiteracy. Some candidates did not take note of the date range in the question and wrote about literacy during Khrushchev's and Brezhnev's regimes which could not be credited in answering this question.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box III. If you change your mind, put a line through the box M and then indicate your new question with a cross M. Chosen question number: Question 2 🚿 Question 3 Question 4

Programme that was created ender

people in cities were literate terate, showing Al population decrease system anoitgo which includes reading and

By experimenting with various styles of eduction and limiting the authorities teachers on well the autonomy of the o pick what type of to persue tried out stuck with teaching subjects and expanding in the new and younger generations However, etill pose some problems, since facilities and education in genera making it a to haraer to implement literacy from a young age in these areas of Soviet Russia. lowards the end the early threat of eradioating was not that strong. towards arms Shifted survounding However, as stated previously

effects of the Illiterate Liquefaction Major improvement less pressure

This response achieved low level 4. It has good focus on the liquidation of illiteracy programme and considers successes and failures in order to reach a judgement. It does make some relevant use of the expansion of literacy in schools. It is weaker on addressing limitations but it does try to address this.

Question 3

This question was the most popular essay question on the paper. Most candidates had knowledge of the suppression of opposition to Stalin and were able to look at a range of individuals and methods to develop arguments and draw judgements. The best responses considered the role of Beria and were able to contrast this with the roles of Yagoda and Yezhov as well as the party members responsible for the suppression of opposition to collectivisation and the role of Stalin himself. Those that achieved level 4 had a clear focus on significance and were able to develop clear criteria for judgement. Candidates with less secure knowledge tended to attribute any actions taken by NKVD chiefs to Beria and therefore claimed much of the activities of Yagoda and Yezhov to have been carried out by Beria. Candidates do need to be aware of the differing roles played by each leader and to develop their knowledge precisely.

Chosen question number: Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 Question 4
Alohough is is without a doubt that
Alohough is is without a doubt that Barra did confuct repression in order
to consolidate power around stalin, to
his actions if taken into comparison with
previous NKVD leaders such as Yagoda and
Yezhov renain to seen at least somewhat
Imired.
To begin with, Beria come intopower afte
the increasingly deteriorating heath
conditions of Xerlow Yeahow being dissursend
by stalin due to concerns about his the
mubilize so carry out his duries. The
introduction of Breria as houd at the
secres police marking a significant docume
in the total resules of the soviet positive
system. Firstly, to properly analyze the
impact Boria had, it is valuable to
compare him with previous administrators
and heads of the NTVD, to do this ina
more alosso orderly manuar chronologically
their motions will be compared. Yagoda, the
frost NKUD Leader put into power by
Spalin was remarkably ruth toos and

rio resolving in a major spillover at actions secret police violence into the civillian world. Under Yugoda Bralin's command began soviet government anydissons revolutionalies The major events being the chunat block Zenovieuite party structure. The two left conserveights to Stahn having been labelled bourgeo: sie receivahers and Tronsky ites disagraements on Stating proporals "specialisms when one country" as the to export the revolution to waned PS while stahn Yayodus secret police eveloping the USBR valuable intermation the intermation gathered thored proving valuable 14 trials militare the leader leaders were borated and arrested. Yayoda here then assisting Stulm in as greatly interests at operating -cotions stations opposition

Furthermore, the murder of Kirov by an allegedly NKVO trained operative know agon being a apposition force in the party able to match stalin's as he recieved granding evertions for his greeched solidified a ally of Borts, Yor Stalin Vagoda as disputed this occurrance as he stated that he some kiron us - close ally and moorned his death , The murder giving Stuhn an excuse to depose Xayoda and blume him for the terror that cross pluguing sovier society all of the excesses blumed on Yayoda as a sape great yestrate still remains a feat that Yogodas leadership in the NKVD which had starte early him oven being around to expell Stalins wain compete for Trossky him being eventually exiled Sever Umon, did greatly aid Stalin, arguebly so much so that is son it not ton Yugodas collaboration it could be argued that Stalin could have not even come to power or here been deposed by the congress earlier in his carrer Hoving on, Yugodas successor Yeshow was also quite violent and was directly appointed

by Stalin, Yezhou engaging in practices gudn as taking away upposition members party identity ourds effectively blocking them from participating in politics, solidifying close ally to Stalin, this harmen the orset of world war two making it that Yezhou had to organize oliminate foscist-Axis military personell suspected of treason. The terror arguably conjugte a MIST under his rule, yet is somewhat and justified due to wartime conditions, Ychous continued to eliminate leaders even assasinating Leon Trossky abroad, but his operations did not necessarily tend to help stalin depose political contendors as other wornes were the foot that he was indeed very much so allied to Stalin bus had no opportunity to prove it his reign borng tocused on less the party and more on stare adtairs. this brings us to Beria who inherited the position as head of the secret police, Him in drarge of protecting Stalin and managing The expansive sould newly found of the zooviet union - in

pastern europe, Germany and the Bultie States King on Beria conducting many missions in the name but simplar to Yezhou had Stohns political oppowers as Stating power by now had largoly consolidated. Beria however aiding 3 tahin in the elimination of foreign opposers to even attempts on fellow socialists partisun Joseph Brotz Titos QUELATE SYBOU death contending with thruscher releasing on estimate of prisoners afron gulage showing that he approprie The reasoning as to why Berin had not accomplished as much as rayada or Yeston also being that the psociopulities Eirconstances were different for the those Engel a luving to eruse multi-polarity oversow lorg of action and had opportunities to purge stoline enemies and help consolidate power, Yeshou dealing with the world wor and remnants of resistance groups withis the Soviet Union to directly affect having a harder time Staling political dominantand Beria

Whenting post war patriotism and general
agriculation of people into seviet rule had little
to do thou otherwise manitor local administra
of the five your plans and deal with
foreign throug wanaging the scattlite states.
the Conclusively Beria wherered
a somewhat stable political situation with
gratin being appraised leader who won a wajorwan
in the interfer opposition meaning that it
to dishowest to say that he played the
most augusticant robe in soppressing Stoling
opposition, as other leaders previous to
him, conducted move substantial and larger
roles in anding stolin, Yagod and Yeahov
being propert to and station in Key victories
and got him on a part to political conformi
meretore Berin was not did not pluy the
most significant role in suppressing
Opposition to Stell. 11

This is a mid level 4 response. It is focus on the question and supported by detailed knowledge. The approach is rather chronological and as such it takes some time to address Beria and hence his relative significance. It has a secure judgement in the conclusion.

Question 4

This was the least popular question on the paper and performance tended to be patchy. Whilst a small number of candidates engaged with the question and developed secure knowledge to address it, there were significantly more who tended to address a past question rather than the one set and this did impact on achievement. The question required candidates to look at the changing priorities for industry under Brezhnev, Andropov and Chernenko. At the top end, candidates looked at ther continuing focus on the military industrial sector and the changing priorities for consumer goods, and the need to deal with corruption and alcoholism after Brezhnev's death. It was legitimate for candidates to begin with a consideration of the priorities at the end of Khrushchev's regime. However, a significant number of candidates approached this as a similarity and difference question on Khrushchev's and Brezhnev's priorities and even wrote at length about changes under Gorbachev. These responses did not focus effectively on the demands of the question. Candidates should make sure they read the question carefully and plan it before writing. This should avoid making mistakes on the focus and demands of the question.

hosen question number:	Question 2	Question 3	□ Q	uestion 4	8
In the years 1964					
industry of Bushness i	and khrushehea.	Runarily, th	is enay wa	del organ bl	ad Preezi
invaaseel bhe militax	. //				
abbinphol be cevera	and the second second				
additionally brought		100			4
imilarily between	77.4			67	
cus mainly in the iner char to Tile to to me					
chon to stick to its me		a i uv	40 - 1 - 41		W .
ual può eià es andes bol brevoleire ol aron	4.07	1 // /			
bed strevetivre of econ bebevoen industries w	rden Brezhnevo	and industria	ander War	untirkun voi	wyseseni Wat ke wer
lebewen industry w as limited in its deap	W.	J	via optavia. Inglaria	exactorise se . Prog	eces una
Fierbly, it would		o hithlight the	ireranev	militaryn	serdire u
dec Preizhnev anal k	ie approach to b	e covnimire pa	ele. Acce	reding book	2 94h 5-46
Can in ble years 1973	1-1975 the connu	men joods vea	rkill toni	iolered a pe	wriby bu
ndusbey in He Bries	2 Inion This con	Od ble vierwed o	2 û dejîrel	of contiau	by beboue
Khrishchen and Orie	2hneo, nince ib uu	es largely Ki	ruchhere	who fore bl	e fisso bes
efter Halirs deab La	ral observan au	sh wedenbuck	isation de	odeed bo shi	fb bre foo
f industry fran er	policees poods o	rnal hearry in	dustry bi	He askirbu	kion of bl
apoler needs. Priezh	new presumed b	his secur od li	axt partia	lly is list.	week she a
Ldeveloped socialim	n in wwech all	bke pegeler la	ne seub a	re salustile	L. Henel, b

in the avoilability of such goods ag IV sets or washing machines thousal 85% of people in the Union owned Webs in the labe 1943, as well as ordained took could benefit from the marking madines Monetheless the expenditures on consumer posts were xenitecontly andermined by the proxime influence of libery industrial complex and rebestuent spendings on the mulitary Hovey expenditures were already increasing in early kee to odabile political environment, berson around Minile Orinx, Louison, this was fell combusined by Khruckohers trong belief a communim in hun decader and populate approaches to economy thereon he was a more people centered well than Buchness In inipial brend with military expenditures only prem up 18% of all resources and 20% of workers blingensod does were in the stocal region in 1967 and more importantly in the oppositions. interconcerny under representations from and an areault objects the aforementation ened abbornes do provide moreb eithers with enough somemer poods, thequeliby of 6 him was often overy over e.g. TV xts could have been explosed. This mobility provide for the puple regardy were even reflected in Broshnews ideological undergraning for the derived political propose Indicad of an to reach communion in 1980, and while the needs of all there coa much more moders wal of morroling the people with baces alone thus it of be suggested that are of the industrial mirribles would the common goods, however, under Brushoes & rule ble military spending and development

of military intubial complex celipsed this which feels and therefore nonlined a precessing difference behaveen industry under Breatness and pressing industry al disclament Additionally, it could be claimed that Breshren you fromthy asserted khrushihan obtempto to decentralise be comony and thur this reserve It and lested methods of economic mangement became a change in how the rolling was run in the years 1564-1985 Under Khruchsher as for as in 1862 bere were would had morarchori - reprinal departments that would be tooked to oversee the implementation of cooplan in the USP evarious regions her aimed do make the Gooden more flexible and agrenouse to the Cacal needs, advantages and limbations and consequently inprivate be coming On sop of that in 1962 due to the influence of hielermann Plan khoushiher decibed to split be industrial and apricultival ministree on knowparate sides hence to lead to even howhen decentralination of whomes in bourn, acted occurely in coverse and abolished all is somerconi, as well as repealed the diversion of munistrees on agricultural and industrial most This marked therereceived emphasis on centraling nearway and reamorted the cole of the top

eld Herefore be considered a clear change of focus. "Last but not least, there was evidently a degree of similarity between

lan is the management of industry Aus, Prester reinforced the role of the cent

ral budged and from now on the regional departments that over-some the activi

venent of largets could no longer manage the people Hemselves Consequent

by it could be negented black one of the changeal recombines in the indicategy under

Bushner was return to the contralisation and belief that the would sense

ble comme efficiency the clearly differed from Khrustyhery approach and co

be industry under Brokers and Khreenscher Gueen maily the centrally donrad economy and its moretising of autorit rather than productively, quanti by interest of quality Indeed, under Brestner and potentially due to some of the khruichshoor shorbeanings blow were introded somesteps to shift the focus from as bouch to the preschedivity In 1965 Prime Minister kongris developed a tacke that would be based on each and profite analysis and the margin between the right from successes and the cost of publication hitrally, this was adopted On 64 factories acros USB, Louison, Breakness hinself into seened in erider to lineate Kospen whiteally. Hence, the ballower were encouraged to divigoral emphania en proclueblitiby and caloulations of prolif. Moretiser, there were introduced bus fargets - for the subject alongside the production by thereall, tois led to ble kulive of 1100 years a form of as they resulted into more incommente and mutually exclusive dougets in the factionies Importantly, this also led to lowelsun of the industrial mechanization because richwers executived by leverator assert in guardiby were more been bo prioribile short term pains over love term investment him realizing more old you machinery rather change remed, more obveloped becknowing Twely Brieskners attempted to improve this lask of inovation by orolering He research indibutes to engage to be with the flato rues in 1973, however, this proved to be ineffective, nice the technological advancements continued to be you and reportional Hence, the hortermines of the cont tolly planned economy that persibed and did not allow the probouted to Locus on the revolutions, essend of arbeit, quality instead of grantity and innovative experimentation in dead of the old methoda were still in place under Priezhner dezaderome abbanabo do sereus-be industry This nelficiency certains adds continuity to the picture because xinilar riblems could be van under

khrust cher Therefore, in live against notive by in the years 1915-1985 hailed be much
its from and more on to a more advanced functioning
In conclusion, this essay would state again that be industry priorities with
Doughour charged but net fundamentally Da within, the resolution of con-
numer goods persisted but it was later religional by a dramatic increase in auti-
day pending, Khunhoheor decembraling measures were excaled and bleis
durkry vister Brushnev sum largely feared on manadize the role of Corplan. M
The same him the cook of the communicationation under Buchner was leftur-
changed - it was the centrally planned economy with its privily on outpailors
quantity that continued to paine country's industry usen flutter Becelere it
could be claimed blook the extent to which the provides for industry changed
under Orieshner is ble years 1944- 800 was lombed in its stepth although neverthe-
lengelant

This is a low level 4 response. It considers a range of priorities for industry under Brezhnev and uses the situation left by Khrushchev to draw out the changes. It demonstrates secure knowledge which is applied to the question. It does not consider priorities under Andropov and Chernenko and sometimes wanders from change into similarity and difference.

Paper Summary

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

Section A

Value of Source Question (1(a))

- Candidates must be more prepared to make valid inferences rather than to paraphrase the source
- Be prepared to back up inferences by adding additional contextual knowledge from beyond the source

- Move beyond stereotypical approaches to the nature/purpose and authorship of the source e.g. look at the specific stance and/or purpose of the writer
- Avoid writing about the deficiencies of the source when assessing its value to the enquiry.

Weight of Source Question (1(b)/2(b))

- Candidates should be prepared to assess the weight of the source for an enquiry by being aware that the author is writing for a specific audience. Be aware of the values and concerns of that audience.
- In assessing weight, it is perfectly permissible to use contextual knowledge to support/challenge statements and claims made in the source
- Try to distinguish between fact and opinion by using your contextual knowledge of the period
- Knowledge should be integrated with the source evidence, to discuss the inferences drawn and their validity in the light of the contextual understanding of the period.
- In coming to a judgement about the nature/purpose of the source, take account of the
 weight you may be able to give to the author's evidence in the light of his or her stance
 and/or purpose
- In assessing weight, it is perfectly permissible to assess reliability by considering what has been perhaps deliberately omitted from the source. However, simply stating that a source is limited because it does not cover certain events or developments does not establish weight since no source can be comprehensive.

Section B

Essay questions

- Candidates must provide more factual details as evidence. Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Take a few minutes to plan your answer before you begin to write your response
- Pick out three or four key themes and then provide an analysis of (for e.g.) the target significance mentioned in the question, setting its importance against other themes rather than providing a description of each
- Pay more careful attention to key phrases in the question when analysing and use them throughout the essay to prevent deviation from the central issues and concepts
- Pay careful attention to the date range in the question. Plan the answer with a focus on this
 range and avoid lengthy exploration of events outside of the time period set
- Try to explore links between issues to make the structure flow more logically and the arguments more integrated.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R ORL, United Kingdom